

On Certain Subclass of p-valent Meromorphically Starlike Functions with Alternating Coefficients

¹H. E. Darwish, ²A. Y. Lashin, ³B. H. Rizqan

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt

³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education and Languages, Amran University, Amran, Yemen

¹Darwish333@yahoo.com, ²aylashin@yahoo.com, ³bakeralhasiti@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

A certain subclass $B_m(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$ consisting of meromorphic p-valent functions with alternating coefficient in $U^* = \{z : z \in C : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ is introduced. In this paper we obtain coefficient inequalities, distortion theorem, closure theorems and class preserving integral operators for functions in the class $B_m(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$ are obtained.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords: Regular, meromorphic functions, star like functions, distortion theorems, closure theorems, integral operators

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Sigma(p)$ denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_{-p} \neq 0; p \in N := \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are regular in the punctured disc

$$U^* = \{z : z \in C : 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}.$$

Definition 1:

Let f, g be analytic in U . Then g is said to be subordinate to f , written $g \prec f$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, which is analytic in U , with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$) such that $g(z) = f(w(z))$ ($z \in U$). Hence $g(z) \prec f(z)$ ($z \in U$), then $g(0) = f(0)$ and $g(U) \subset f(U)$. In particular, if the function $f(z)$ is univalent in U , we have the following (e.g. [1]; [2]): $g(z) \prec f(z)$ ($z \in U$) if and only if $g(0) = f(0)$ and $g(U) \subset f(U)$.

Definition 2:

For functions $f(z) \in \Sigma(p)$ given by (1.1) and $g(z) \in \Sigma(p)$ defined by

$$g(z) = \frac{b_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad (b_k \geq 0, p \in N), \quad (1.2)$$

we define the convolution (or Hadamard product) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ by

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{a_{-p} b_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k \quad (p \in N, z \in U). \quad (1.3)$$

Now, using the integral operator

$$L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) \quad (\ell > 0; \lambda \geq 0; p \in N; m \in N_0; z \in U^*)$$

introduced by El-Ashwah [3], for function $f(z) \in \Sigma(p)$ given by (1.1) as follows:

$$L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^m a_k z^k. \quad (1.4)$$

It is easily verified from (1.4) that

$$\lambda z (L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z))' = \ell L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z) - (\ell + p\lambda) L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z) \quad (\lambda > 0), \quad (1.5)$$

We note that:

- i. $L_p^\alpha(1, 1) f(z) = p_p^\alpha f(z)$ (see Aqlan et al. [4]);
- ii. $L_1^\alpha(1, \beta) f(z) = p_{\beta}^\alpha f(z) (a_{-p} = 1)$ (see Lashin [5]);
- iii. $L(1, \gamma) f(z) = J(f(z)) (p = 1)$ (see Sh. Najafzadeh [6]);
- iv. $L_p^m(1, \alpha) f(z) = J_{p, \alpha}^m f(z)$ (see El-Ashwah et. at [7]).

Let $B_m(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ in $\Sigma(p)$ that satisfy the condition:

$$\frac{\ell L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{\lambda L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z)} - \left(\frac{\lambda p + \ell}{\lambda} \right) \prec - \frac{p + [pB + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]z}{1 + Bz}; z \in U^* \quad (1.6)$$

Where \prec denotes subordination, $0 \leq \alpha < p$,

$-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$, $0 < B \leq 1$, $\lambda, \ell > 0$, $p \in N$ and $m \in N_0$.

By definition of subordination, the condition (1.6) is equivalent to

http://www.ejournalofscience.org

$$\frac{\ell L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{\lambda L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z)} - \left(\frac{\lambda p + \ell}{\lambda} \right) = \frac{p + [pB + (A - B)(p - \alpha)] w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, \tag{1.7}$$

Where $w(z) \in H = \{w \text{ regular, } w(0) = 0 \text{ and } |w(z)| < 1, z \in U\}$. it is easy to see that the condition (1.7) is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{\frac{\ell L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{\lambda L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z)} - \frac{\ell}{\lambda}}{B \left[\frac{\ell L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{\lambda L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z)} - \left(\frac{\lambda p + \ell}{\lambda} \right) \right] + [pB + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in U^*). \tag{1.8}$$

We note that:

- i. When $A = -1, B = 1$, we have $f(z) \in B_m(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell)$ if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\ell L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{\lambda L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z)} - \left(\frac{\lambda p + \ell}{\lambda} \right) \right\} < -\alpha,$$

- ii. when $\alpha = 0$

$$\frac{\ell L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{\lambda L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z)} - \left(\frac{\lambda p + \ell}{\lambda} \right) < -\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.$$

Let $\sum_a(p)$ be the subclass of $\sum(p)$ consists of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k z^k \quad (a_{-p} \neq 0; a_k \geq 0; p \in N), \tag{1.9}$$

that are regular and p-valent in U^*

Let us write

$$\sum_a^*(p, n, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B) = B_m(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B) \cap \sum_a(p). \tag{1.10}$$

In this paper coefficient inequalities, distortion theorem and closure theorems for the class $B_m^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$ are obtained. Finally the class preserving integral operators of the form

$$F(z) = (c - p + 1) z^{-c-1} \int_0^z t^c f(t) dt \quad (c > p - 1; p \in N), \tag{1.11}$$

is considered. Techniques used are similar to those of Silverman [8] and Uralegaddi and Ganigi [9], Aouf and Darwish [10], Aouf and Hossen and Lashin [11].

2. COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES

Theorem 1:

Let $f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ be regular and p-valent in U^* . If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k + p)} \right]^{m+1} [(k + p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha)] |a_k| \leq (B - A)(p - \alpha) |a_{-p}|, \tag{2.1}$$

then $f(z) \in B_m(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$.

Proof:

Suppose (2.1) holds for all admissible values of $p, m, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A$ and B . It suffices show that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z)} - 1}{B \left[\frac{L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z)} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{\ell} p + 1 \right) \right] + \lambda / \ell [pB + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]} \right| < 1 \quad \text{for } |z| < 1$$

We have

$$\left| \frac{\frac{L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z)} - 1}{B \left[\frac{L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z)} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{\ell} p + 1 \right) \right] + \lambda / \ell [pB + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} (k+p) a_k z^{p+k}}{(A-B)(p-\alpha) a_{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [B(k+p) + (A-B)(p-a)] a_k z^{k+p}} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} (k+p) |a_k|}{(B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [B(k+p) + (A-B)(p-a)] |a_k|}$$

The last expression is bounded above by (1.1) if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} (k+p) |a_k| \leq (B-A)(p-a) |a_{-p}| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [B(k+p) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] |a_k|$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] |a_k| \leq (B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}|$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2: Let

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k z^k \quad (a_{-p} \neq 0; a_k \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N})$$

be regular and p -valent in U^* . Then $f(z) \in$

$\sum_a^*(p, m, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] a_k \leq (B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}| \tag{2.2}$$

Proof:

In view of Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show the "only if" part. Let us suppose that

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k z^k \quad (a_{-p} \neq 0; a_k \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N})$$

is in $\sum_a^*(p, m, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$. Then

$$\left| \frac{\frac{L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z)} - 1}{B \left[\frac{L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z)} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{\ell} p + 1 \right) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{\ell} [pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} (k+p) a_k z^{k+p}}{(B-A)(p-\alpha) a_{-p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [B(k+p) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] a_k z^{k+p}} \right| \leq 1$$

for all $z \in U^*$. Using the fact that $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq |z|$ for all z , it follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} (k+p) a_k z^{k+p}}{(B-A)(p-\alpha) a_{-p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [B(k+p) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] a_k z^{k+p}} \right\} \leq 1 \quad (z \in U^*). \quad (2.3)$$

Now choose values of z on the real axis so that

$\frac{L_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{L_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}$ is real. Upon clearing the denominator in

(2.3) and letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ through real values, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} (k+p) a_k \leq (B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}| -$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [B(k+p) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] a_k.$$

or

$$\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] a_k \leq (B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}|.$$

Hence the result follows.

Corollary 1:

If $f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k z^k$

($a_{-p} \neq 0; a_k \geq 0; p \in N$) is in the class

$\sum_a^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$, then

$$a_k \leq \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]} \quad (k \in N). \quad (2.4)$$

Equality holds for the functions of form

$$f_k(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha) a_{-p}}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]} z^k \quad (k \in N; p \in N). \quad (2.5)$$

3. DISTORTION THEOREMS

Theorem 3:

Let $f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k z^k$ ($a_{-p} \neq 0; a_k \geq 0; p \in N$) is in the class $\sum_a^*(p, m, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$, then for

$$0 < |z| = r < 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|a_{-p}|}{r^p} - \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(1+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]} r &\leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq \frac{|a_{-p}|}{r^p} + \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(1+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]} r \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

with equality for the function

http://www.ejournalofscience.org

$$f_1(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha)|a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(1 + p)}\right]^{m+1} [(1 + p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]} z \quad \text{at } z = r, ir. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof:

Suppose that $f(z)$ in the class $\sum_a^*(p, m, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$.

In view of Theorem 2, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha)|a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(1 + p)}\right]^{m+1} [(1 + p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]}. \quad (3.3)$$

In view of Theorem 2, we have

$$\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(1 + p)}\right]^{m+1} [(1 + p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha)] \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \quad \text{Consequently, we obtain}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k + p)}\right]^{m+1} [(1 + p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha)] a_k \quad |f(z)| \leq \frac{|a_{-p}|}{r^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k r^k \leq \frac{|a_{-p}|}{r^p} + r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$$

$$\leq (B - A)(p - \alpha)|a_{-p}|,$$

which evidently yields

$$\leq \frac{|a_{-p}|}{r^p} + \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha)|a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(1 + p)}\right]^{m+1} [(1 + p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]} r,$$

by (3.3). This gives the right hand inequality of (3.1). Also

$$|f(z)| \geq \frac{|a_{-p}|}{r^p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k r^k \geq \frac{|a_{-p}|}{r^p} - r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \geq \frac{|a_{-p}|}{r^p} - \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha)|a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(1 + p)}\right]^{m+1} [(1 + p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]} r,$$

which gives the left hand side of (3.1). It can be easily seen that the function $f(z)$ defined by (3.2) is an extremely function for the theorem.

Theorem 4: If $f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k z^k$

$(a_{-p} \neq 0; a_k \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N})$ is in the class

$\sum_a^*(p, m, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$, then for $0 < |z| = r < 1$,

$$\frac{p|a_{-p}|}{r^{p+1}} - \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha)|a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(1 + p)}\right]^{m+1} [(1 + p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{p|a_{-p}|}{r^{p+1}} + \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha)|a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(1 + p)}\right]^{m+1} [(1 + p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]}. \quad (3.4)$$

The result is sharp, the extremely function being of the form (3.2).

Proof:

From Theorem 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(1+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ka_k \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(1+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] a_k \leq (B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}|, \end{aligned}$$

Which evidently yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ka_k \leq \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(1+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]} \quad (3.5)$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)| & \leq \frac{p |a_{-p}|}{r^{p+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ka_k r^{k-1} \leq \frac{p |a_{-p}|}{r^{p+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ka_k \leq \frac{p |a_{-p}|}{r^{p+1}} \\ & + \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(1+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]}, \end{aligned}$$

by (3.5). Also

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)| & \geq \frac{p |a_{-p}|}{r^{p+1}} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ka_k r^{k-1} \geq \frac{p |a_{-p}|}{r^{p+1}} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ka_k \\ & \geq \frac{p |a_{-p}|}{r^{p+1}} - \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(1+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

4. CLOSURE THEOREMS

Let the functions $f_j(z)$ be defined for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

by

$$f_j(z) = \frac{a_{-p,j}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_{k,j} z^k \quad (a_{-p,j} > 0; a_{k,j} \geq 0; p \in N) \quad \text{for } z \in U^*. \quad (4.1)$$

Theorem 5:

Let the function $f_j(z)$ be defined by (4.1) be in the class

$\sum_a^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$ for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then

the function $F(z)$ defined by

$$F(z) = \frac{b_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} b_k z^k \quad (b_{-p} > 0; b_k \geq 0; p \in N), \quad (4.2)$$

is a member of the class $\sum_a^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$, where

$$b_{-p} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{-p,j} \quad \text{and} \quad b_k = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{k,j} \quad (k \in N). \quad (4.3)$$

Proof:

Since $f_j(z) \in \sum_a^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$, it follows from

Theorem 2 that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] a_{k,j} \leq (B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p,j}| \quad (4.4)$$

for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] b_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{k,j} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] a_{k,j} \right) \\ &\leq (B-A)(p-\alpha) \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{-p,j} \right) = (B-A)(p-\alpha) b_{-p}, \end{aligned}$$

which (in view of Theorem 2) implies that

$$F(z) \in \sum_a^* (p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B).$$

Theorem 6:

The class $\sum_a^* (p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$ is closed under convex linear combination.

Proof:

Let the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by (4.1) be in the class $\sum_a^* (p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$, it is sufficient to prove that the function

$$H(z) = t f_1(z) + (1-t) f_2(z) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1) \quad (4.5)$$

is also in the class $\sum_a^* (p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$. Since for $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$H(z) = \frac{t a_{-p,1} + (1-t) a_{-p,2}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \{t a_{k,1} + (1-t) a_{k,2}\} z^k, \quad (4.6)$$

we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] \{t a_{k,1} + (1-t) a_{k,2}\} \\ &= t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] a_{k,1} + \\ & (1-t) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)} \right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] a_{k,2} \\ &\leq (B-A)(p-\alpha) \{t a_{-p,1} + (1-t) a_{-p,2}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

which the aid of Theorem 2, hence $H(z) \in \sum_a^* (p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Theorem 7: Let $f_0(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p}$ (4.8)

and

$$f_k(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} \{(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}} z^k \quad (k \in N). \quad (4.9)$$

Then $f(z) \in \sum_a^* (p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z), \text{ where } \mu_k \geq 0 \quad (k \geq 0) \text{ and } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1. \quad (4.10)$$

Proof: Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z), \text{ where } \mu_k \geq 0 \quad (k \geq 0) \text{ and } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1. \text{ Then} \\ f(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z) \\ &= \mu_0 f_0(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z) \\ &= \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} \{(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}} z^k \quad (k \in N). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} \{(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\} \\ &\frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} \{(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}} \mu_k \\ &= (B-A)(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \\ &= (B-A)(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}| (1 - \mu_0) \\ &\leq (B-A)(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

we have $f(z) \in \sum_a^* (p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$, by Theorem 2 .

Conversely, suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) belongs to the class $\sum_a^* (p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$. Since

$$a_k \leq \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} \{(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}} \quad (k \in N) \quad (4.13)$$

by Corollary 1, setting

$$\mu_k = \frac{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} \{(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}}{1/\ell(A-B)(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}|} a_k \quad (k \in N) \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$\mu_0 = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z), \quad (2.15)$$

it follows that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

5. INTEGRAL OPERATORS

Theorem 8: If $f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k z^k$

$(a_{-p} \neq 0; a_k \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N})$ is in the class

$\sum_a^*(p, m, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$, then

$$F(z) = (c - p + 1)z^{-c-1} \int_0^z t^c f(t) dt$$

$$\gamma(p, \alpha, c, A, B) = p - \frac{(c-p+1)(1+p)(1+B)(p-\alpha)}{(c+p+1)[(1+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]-(c-p+1)(A-B)(p-\alpha)}. \tag{5.2}$$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(1+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(1+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]} z. \tag{5.3}$$

$$= \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \left(\frac{c-p+1}{c+k+1}\right) a_k z^k,$$

(5.1)

$c > p - 1$, belongs to the class

$\sum_a^*(p, m, \gamma, (p, \alpha, c, A, B), \lambda, \ell, A, B)$, where

Proof:

Suppose

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k z^k \in \sum_a^*(p, m, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B),$$

in view of Theorem 2, we shall find the largest value of γ for which

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\gamma)]}{(B-A)(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}|} \left(\frac{c-p+1}{c+k+1}\right) a_k \leq 1.$$

It is sufficient to find the range values of γ for which

$$\frac{(c-p+1)[(k+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\gamma)]}{(c+k+1)(p-\gamma)} \leq \frac{[(k+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]}{(p-\alpha)} \text{ for each } k.$$

and

Solving the above inequality for γ , we obtain

$$\gamma \leq p - \frac{(c-p+1)(k+p)(1+B)(p-\alpha)}{(c+k+1)[(k+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]-(c-p+1)(A-B)(p-\alpha)}.$$

For each $p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B$ and c fixed let

$$F(k) = p - \frac{(c-p+1)(k+p)(1+B)(p-\alpha)}{(c+k+1)[(k+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]-(c-p+1)(A-B)(p-\alpha)}.$$

Then $F(k+1) - F(k) = \frac{D}{G} > 0$ for each k , where

$$D = (c - p + 1)(1 + B^2)(p - \alpha)(k + p)(k + p + 1)$$

$$G = \{(c+k+2)[(k+p+1)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\alpha)] - (c-p+1)(A-B)(p-\alpha)\}.$$

$$\cdot \{(c+k+1)[(k+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\alpha)] - (c-p+1)(A-B)(p-\alpha)\}.$$

Hence $F(k)$ is an increasing function of k . Since

$$F(1) = p - \frac{(c-p+1)(1+p)(1+B)(p-\alpha)}{(c+2)[(1+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]-(c-p+1)(A-B)(p-\alpha)},$$

the result follows.

Remark:

(i) Putting $a_{-p} = 1, p = 1, m = 0, A = -1$ and $B = 1$ in the above results, we have the results obtained by Uralegaddi and Ganigi [9];

(ii) Putting $a_{-p} = 1, p = 1, A = -1$ and $B = 1$ in the above results, we have the results obtained by Aouf and Darwish [10];

(iii) Putting $a_{-p} = 1$ and $p = 1$ in the above results, we have the results obtained by Aouf et. al. [11].

6. CONVOLUTION PROPERTIES

Theorem 9:

If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ belong to the class $B_m(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$, then

$$T(z) = \frac{a_{-p} b_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^2 + b_k^2) z^k, \tag{6.1}$$

is in the class $B_m(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$ such that $A_1 < -\mu^2 + B_1(1 - \mu^2)$, where

$$\mu = \frac{\sqrt{2(p-\alpha)(k+p)(|a_{-p}| |b_{-p}|)(B-A)}}{\sqrt{2|a_{-p}| |b_{-p}|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + \sqrt{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]}}}$$

Proof:

Since $f, g \in B_m(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$. Theorem 2 yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] a_k}{(B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}|} \right)^2 \leq 1,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] b_k}{(B-A)(p-\alpha) |b_{-p}|} \right)^2 \leq 1,$$

we obtain from the last two inequalities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]}{(B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}| |b_{-p}|} \right)^2 (a_k^2 + b_k^2) \leq 1, \tag{6.2}$$

However, $T(z) \in B_m(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B_1) + (A_1 - B_1)(p-\alpha)]}{(B_1 - A_1)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}| |b_{-p}|} \right)^2 (a_k^2 + b_k^2) \leq 1, \tag{6.3}$$

where $-1 \leq A_1 < B_1 \leq 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\ell > 0$, but (6.2) implies (6.3) if

$$\frac{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B_1) + (A_1 - B_1)(p-\alpha)]}{(B_1 - A_1)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}| |b_{-p}|} < \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]}{(B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}| |b_{-p}|} \right)^2$$

Hence, if

$$\frac{1 + B_1}{B_1 - A_1} < \frac{2(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 |a_{-p}| |b_{-p}| + \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]^2}{2(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)(k+p) |a_{-p}| |b_{-p}|}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\frac{B_1 - A_1}{1 + B_1} > \frac{2(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)(k+p) |a_{-p}| |b_{-p}|}{2(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 |a_{-p}| |b_{-p}| + \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]^2} = \mu^2. \tag{6.4}$$

Hence we get $A_1 < -\mu^2 + B_1(1 - \mu^2)$.

Theorem 10: Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ belong to the class $B_m(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$. Then the convolution (or Hadamard product) of two functions f and g belong to the class that is, $(f * g)(z) \in B_m(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$, where $A_1 < -v + B_1(1 - v)$ and

$$v = \frac{(B-A)^2(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}| |b_{-p}|}{(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 |a_{-p}| |b_{-p}| + \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]^2}$$

Proof:

Since $f, g \in B_m(p, \alpha, \lambda, \ell, A, B)$, by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Theorem 2, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]}{(B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}| |b_{-p}|} \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]}{(B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}|} a_k \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]}{(B-A)(p-\alpha) |b_{-p}|} b_k \right)^{1/2} \leq 1, \tag{6.5}$$

we must find the values of A_1, B_1 so that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B_1)+(A_1-B_1)(p-\alpha)]}{(B_1-A_1)(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}||b_{-p}|} a_k b_k < 1. \tag{6.6}$$

There for, by (6.5), (6.6) holds true if

$$\sqrt{a_k b_k} \leq \frac{(B_1-A_1)[(k+p)(1+B_1)+(A_1-B_1)(p-\alpha)]}{(B-A)[(k+p)(1+B_1)+(A_1-B_1)(p-\alpha)]}, \quad k \geq m, \quad m \geq p, \quad a_k \neq 0, \quad b_k \neq 0. \tag{6.7}$$

By (6.5), we have

$$\sqrt{a_k b_k} < \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}|}{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]}, \text{ therefor (6.7) holds true}$$

if

$$\frac{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B_1)+(A_1-B_1)(p-\alpha)]}{(B_1-A_1)(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}||b_{-p}|} \leq \left[\frac{\left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]}{(B-A)(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}||b_{-p}|} \right]^2,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1+B_1}{B_1-A_1} < \frac{(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2|a_{-p}||b_{-p}| + \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]^2}{(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}||b_{-p}|}.$$

Alternatively, we can write

$$\frac{B_1-A_1}{1+B_1} > \frac{(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)|a_{-p}||b_{-p}|}{(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2|a_{-p}||b_{-p}| + \left[\frac{\ell}{\ell+\lambda(k+p)}\right]^{m+1} [(k+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]^2} = \nu.$$

Hence we get $A_1 < -\nu + B_1(1-\nu)$.

REFERENCES

[1] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordination and univalent's functions, Michigan Math. J., 28(1981), 157-171.

[2] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordination: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225. Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 2000.

[3] R. M. El-Ashwah, Properties of certain class of p-valent meromorphic functions associated with new integral operator, Act Universitatis Apulensis., 29(2012),255-264.

[4] E. Aqlan, J. M. Jahangiri and S. R. Kulkarni, Certain integral operators applied to meromorphic p-valent functions, J. Nat. Geom., 24(2003), 111-120.

[5] A. Y. Lashin, On certain subclass of meromorphic functions associated with certain integral operators, Comput. Math. Appl., 59(1)(2010),524-531.

[6] Sh. Najafzadeh, A. Ebadian and S. Shams, P-valent meromorphic functions with alternating coefficients based on integral operator, J. Inequal. pure and Appl. Math., 9(2)(2008), Art. 40,5pp.

[7] R. M. El-Ashwah and M. K. Aouf, Applications of differential subordination on certain class of meromorphic p-valent functions associated with certain integral operator, Act Universitatis Apulensis., 31(2012), 53-63.

[8] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 51(1975), 109-116.

[9] B. A. Uralegaddi and M. D. Ganigi, Meromorphic star like functions with alternating coefficients, Rend. Mat., 11(7)(1991), 441-446.

<http://www.ejournalofscience.org>

- [10] M. K. Aouf and H. E. Darwish , Meromorphic star like univalent functions with alternating coefficients, *Utilitas Math.*, 47(1995), 137-144.
- [11] M. K. Aouf , H. M. Hossen and A. Y. Lashin, A certain subclass of meromorphically star like functions with alternating coefficients, *Math . Cluj* , 44 (67) (2002), 3-10.